

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/715,547	11/19/2003	Alexander Levitzki	27148	5591
7550 01/08/2008 Martin D. Moynihan			EXAMINER	
PRTSI, Inc.			TRUONG, TAMTHOM NGO	
P. O. Box 16446 Arlington, VA 22215		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/715,547 LEVITZKI ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit TAMTHOM N. TRUONG 1624 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 October 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-5 and 9-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4.5.12-27 and 32-34 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,9-11 and 28-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11-29-07.

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

#### FINAL ACTION

Applicant's amendment of 10-15-07 has been fully considered. The deletion of "prodrug", and the correction of the typographical error have overcome the previous rejection of 112/2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, items (a) and (b). The explanation for the term "enriching" in claim 28 has also overcome the previous rejection of 112/2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, item (d). The deletion of -OH has overcome the 102 rejections as well. Thus, said rejections are now moot.

Applicant's argument does not overcome the rejection of 112/2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph for the limitation of "a pair of electrons", and the "Lack of Written Description". Thus, said rejections are maintained herein.

Claims 6-8 have been cancelled

Claims 4, 5, 12-27 and 32-34 have been withdrawn.

Claims 1-3, 9-11 and 28-31 remain for consideration.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, Second Paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3, 9-11 and 28-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as
failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant(s) regard as their invention. Evidence that
claims 1-3, 9-11 and 28-31 fail(s) to correspond in scope with that which applicant(s) regard as
the invention can be found in the reply filed 10-15-07. In that paper, applicant has stated:

Art Unit: 1624

"The phrase "a pair of electrons" is a commonly used chemical definition of a pair of electrons in the outermost, which is not used in bonding (emphasis added), and which in certain circumstances will allow the atom to bond with other atoms, ions, or molecules that are electrons deficient (emphasis added) by providing both of the electrons. This term is typically not used to describe a double bond."

This statement indicates that the invention is different from what is defined in the claim(s) because as drawn Compound II is presumed to be a compound that is fully aromatic. Thus, the limitation of "a pair of electrons" as stated in the main claim I would seem to only apply for each of A, D and Y (all being N) when said atoms are **doubly bonded** to adjacent atom. When said atoms are **singly bonded** to adjacent atom (i.e., B for A & D, and carbon for Y), then these atoms would have to be bonded with "R" moities. However, for "B" as limited to carbon, R<sub>2</sub> can never be a "pair of electrons" but rather a substituent to fulfill valency requirements. For all of the above reasons, the claim language needs to be verified.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, First Paragraph

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Lack of Written Description: Claims 1-3, 6-11 and 28-31 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the

application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Although Compound II is defined (as pointed out by applicant), the specification does not provide a generic process of making Compound II, nor does it provide bioavailability as well as working examples. Due to the different positions of Y's, the generic process of making Compound I cannot be applied to Compound II. Thus, it is maintained that the specification fails to provide written description for Compound II or preparation thereof.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TAMTHOM N. TRUONG whose telephone number is (571)272-0676. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T and Th (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-830.

Art Unit: 1624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Tamthom N. Truong/

Tamthom N. Truong Examiner Art Unit 1624 /James O. Wilson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1624 Technology Center 1600

1-4-08